



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sheet number	Table number	Question	Question label	Base text	Base
1	1	Q01	Q01. How familiar would you say you are with the current status of the storage and disposal of nuclear waste in the U.S.? Would you say you are:	Total Respondents	1001
2	2	Q02	Q02. Which of the following statements best describes your opinions about the storage and disposal of nuclear waste?	Total Respondents	1001
3	3	Q03	Q03. How much to you agree with the following statements? - The government should review and consider innovative alternative approaches to disposing of nuclear waste	Total Respondents	1001
4	4	Q03(2)	Q03. How much to you agree with the following statements? - The government should be able to contract with private companies to dispose of nuclear waste, if	Total Respondents	1001
5	5	Q03(3)	Q03. How much to you agree with the following statements? - The government should make finding a solution to the nuclear waste problem a top priority	Total Respondents	1001
6	6	Q03(4)	Q03. How much to you agree with the following statements? - The government should actively seek lower cost solutions to the disposal of nuclear waste	Total Respondents	1001
7	7	Q03(5)	Q03. How much to you agree with the following statements? - Innovation could help develop alternative solutions to the nuclear waste problem	Total Respondents	1001
8	8	Q03(6)	Q03. How much to you agree with the following statements? - Summary of Top 2 Box	Total Respondents	1001
9	9	Q03(7)	Q03. How much to you agree with the following statements? - Summary of Bottom 2 Box	Total Respondents	1001

The study was conducted online in GfK's Omnibus using the web-enabled "KnowledgePanel," a probability-based panel designed to be representative of the US general population, not just the online population. The study consisted of 1,001 nationally representative interviews conducted between January 5 and January 7, 2018 among adults aged 18+. The margin of error is +/-3 percentage points.



[Back to Contents](#)

GfK CUSTOM RESEARCH NORTH AMERICA - Omniresearch with KP January 5, 2018 - Nuclear Waste Project
Table 1

Q01. How familiar would you say you are with the current status of the storage and disposal of nuclear waste in the U.S.? Would you say you are:
Base = Total Respondents
UPPER CASE LETTERS DENOTE SIGNIFICANCE AT 95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL
LOWER CASE LETTERS DENOTE SIGNIFICANCE AT 90% CONFIDENCE LEVEL

	Total	Gender		Age						Income						Region			
		Male	Female	18-24	25-34	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Less than \$25K	\$25K-49.9K	\$50K-74.9K	\$75K+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	North East	Midwest	South	West
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
Base Unweighted	1001	505	496	100	181	281	258	281	191	145	191	175	490	336	665	170	233	373	225
Base Weighted	1000	484	516	110	175	285	250	269	196	150	198	174	478	348	652	178	208	377	237
Top 2 Box (Net)	294	183	112	30	46	75	55	83	81	35	58	59	142	93	201	43	56	112	83
	29.4	37.7	21.6	27.1	26.1	26.4	21.9	30.9	41.4	23.5	29.4	33.7	29.8	26.9	30.8	24.4	26.8	29.7	35.1
		C			G			G	DEFGH		I								Pq
Very familiar, this is an issue which I follow very carefully.	22	19	3	1	8	9	3	6	4	4	6	5	7	10	12	2	6	10	4
	2.2	3.9	0.6	1.1	4.5	3.2	1.3	2.2	2	2.4	3	2.9	1.6	2.8	1.9	0.9	2.9	2.8	1.7
		C			G														
Somewhat familiar, I recall reading or hearing about it occasionally.	272	164	109	29	38	66	52	77	77	32	52	54	135	84	188	42	50	102	79
	27.2	33.8	21	25.9	21.5	23.2	20.7	28.7	39.4	21.1	26.3	30.9	28.2	24.1	28.9	23.5	23.9	26.9	33.5
		C			G			G	DEFGH		I								PQ
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	697	299	398	78	128	206	194	184	113	113	137	114	332	250	447	133	150	263	152
	69.7	61.8	77.2	71	73.3	72.4	77.6	68.4	57.6	75.6	69.1	65.8	69.6	71.9	68.5	74.5	72.1	69.7	64
		B	I	I	I	HI	I			I						S	s		
Not very familiar, I am aware of it but don't really understand the issues.	293	142	150	31	51	81	76	84	51	42	56	50	145	98	195	58	60	106	68
	29.3	29.4	29.2	27.8	29	28.5	30.4	31.4	25.9	28	28.3	28.6	30.3	28.2	29.8	32.7	28.7	28.2	28.8
		B	I	I	I	HI	I			I						S	s		
Not at all familiar, don't know anything about it.	405	157	248	48	78	125	118	99	62	72	81	65	188	152	252	74	90	157	83
	40.5	32.4	48	43.3	44.3	43.9	47.2	37	31.7	47.7	40.8	37.2	39.3	43.8	38.7	41.8	43.4	41.6	35.2
		B	I	I	I	HI	I			I						S	s		
Refused	8	2	6	2	1	3	1	2	2	1	3	1	3	4	4	2	2	2	2
	0.8	0.5	1.2	1.9	0.7	1.2	0.4	0.7	1	0.8	1.5	0.5	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.1	1.1	0.5	0.9
		B	I	I	I	HI	I			I						S	s		
Total mentions	1000	484	516	110	175	285	250	269	196	150	198	174	478	348	652	178	208	377	237
	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

[Back to Top](#)

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H/I - J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R/S
 Overlap formulae used.



[Back to Contents](#)

GfK CUSTOM RESEARCH NORTH AMERICA - Omniresearch with KP January 5, 2018 - Nuclear Waste Project
Table 2

Q02. Which of the following statements best describes your opinions about the storage and disposal of nuclear waste?
 Base = Total Respondents
 UPPER CASE LETTERS DENOTE SIGNIFICANCE AT 95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL
 LOWER CASE LETTERS DENOTE SIGNIFICANCE AT 90% CONFIDENCE LEVEL

	Total	Gender		Age						Income						Region			
		Male	Female	18-24	25-34	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Less than \$25K	\$25K-49.9K	\$50K-74.9K	\$75K+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	North East	Midwest	South	West
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
Base Unweighted	1001	505	496	100	181	281	258	281	181	145	191	175	490	336	665	170	233	373	225
Base Weighted	1000	484	516	110	175	285	250	269	196	150	198	174	478	348	652	178	208	377	237
This is a serious problem that currently has no viable long-term solution.	272	145	127	17	40	57	66	86	64	41	56	47	128	96	176	46	56	90	80
	27.2	30.1	24.6	15.2	22.9	20	26.4	31.9	32.4	27	28.2	27.2	26.9	27.7	26.9	25.6	27	23.9	33.8
			c				Df	DeF	DeF										R
This is a serious problem but the government and industry have viable solutions to handle it.	165	99	67	17	28	45	37	39	46	13	34	37	81	47	118	34	30	63	38
	16.5	20.4	12.9	15.2	16	15.7	14.7	14.3	23.2	8.6	17.3	21.2	17	13.6	18.1	18.9	14.6	16.8	16.2
			C						IGH		J	J	J		n				
This really isn't that big of a problem.	31	21	9	9	11	20	4	4	3	4	3	6	18	7	24	4	8	12	7
	3.1	4.4	1.8	8.5	6	7	1.6	1.4	1.6	2.6	1.4	3.6	3.7	1.9	3.7	2.1	3.8	3.1	3
			C		GHI	GHI	GHI												
I don't know enough to say whether there is a problem with the storage and disposal of nuclear waste.	527	215	312	67	96	163	141	140	83	90	104	84	249	194	332	94	113	209	111
	52.7	44.4	60.4	61.1	54.5	57.1	56.4	52.1	42.3	60.2	52.6	48	52	55.9	50.9	52.8	54.5	55.3	46.7
			B	I	I	I	I	I		L								s	
Refused	5	3	2	0	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	0	2	3	2	1	0	3	1
	0.5	0.7	0.3	0	0.5	0.3	0.9	0.3	0.6	1.6	0.4	0	0.4	0.9	0.3	0.6	0	0.8	0.4
Total mentions	1000	484	516	110	175	285	250	269	196	150	198	174	478	348	652	178	208	377	237
Back to Top	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H/I - J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R/S
 Overlap formulae used.



[Back to Contents](#)

GfK CUSTOM RESEARCH NORTH AMERICA - Omniweb with KP January 5, 2018 - Nuclear Waste Project
Table 3

Q03. How much to you agree with the following statements? - The government should review and consider innovative alternative approaches to disposing of nuclear waste
 Base = Total Respondents
 UPPER CASE LETTERS DENOTE SIGNIFICANCE AT 95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL
 LOWER CASE LETTERS DENOTE SIGNIFICANCE AT 90% CONFIDENCE LEVEL

	Total	Gender		Age						Income						Region			
		Male	Female	18-24	25-34	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Less than \$25K	\$25K-49.9K	\$50K-74.9K	\$75K+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	North East	Midwest	South	West
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
Base Unweighted	1001	505	496	100	181	281	258	281	191	145	191	175	490	336	665	170	233	373	225
Base Weighted	1000	484	516	110	175	285	250	269	196	150	198	174	478	348	652	178	208	377	237
Top 2 Box (Net)	90.3	90.5	90.1	87	83.5	84.8	91.7	93	92.8	84.3	90.9	92.1	91.2	88.1	91.5	92.5	88.6	90.2	90.2
						EF	dEF	EF			J	J	J						
Agree completely	55.7	26.5	29.2	49	92	141	144	160	112	80	124	100	253	204	353	99	116	205	138
	55.7	54.7	56.7	44.4	52.7	49.5	57.7	59.5	57.1	53.4	62.7	57.5	53	58.7	54.2	55.6	55.7	54.3	58.2
						Df	DF	d			M								
Agree somewhat	34.6	17.3	17.2	47	54	101	85	90	70	46	56	60	183	102	243	66	68	135	76
	34.6	35.8	33.4	42.6	30.7	35.3	34	33.5	35.6	31	28.2	34.7	38.3	29.4	37.3	36.9	32.9	35.9	32
				ef	E								K	N					
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	70	38	32	12	23	35	17	12	7	19	15	7	29	34	36	5	20	29	16
	7	7.9	6.2	10.7	13.2	12.2	6.6	4.5	3.3	13	7.6	4.1	6	9.9	5.5	3.1	9.5	7.7	6.7
				HI	GHI	GHI				LM				O		P	p		
Disagree somewhat	4.9	24	25	9	16	25	12	9	3	13	12	7	17	24	24	2	14	22	11
	4.9	5	4.8	8	9.2	8.7	4.7	3.4	1.6	8.3	6	4.1	3.6	7	3.7	1.4	6.7	5.8	4.4
				hi	qHI	qHI				M				O		P	P		
Disagree completely	2.1	14	7	3	7	10	5	3	3	7	3	0	11	10	11	3	6	7	5
	2.1	2.9	1.4	2.8	3.9	3.5	2	1.2	1.7	4.6	1.6	0	2.4	2.9	1.7	1.7	2.8	1.9	2.2
				h	h					L				I					
Refused	2.7	8	19	3	6	8	4	7	8	4	3	7	13	7	20	8	4	8	7
	2.7	1.6	3.7	2.3	3.4	3	1.7	2.5	3.9	2.7	1.5	3.8	2.8	2	3.1	4.4	1.9	2.1	3.1
			b																
Total mentions	1000	484	516	110	175	285	250	269	196	150	198	174	478	348	652	178	208	377	237
Back to Top	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H/I - J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R/S
 Overlap formulae used.



[Back to Contents](#)

GfK CUSTOM RESEARCH NORTH AMERICA - Omnibench with KP January 5, 2018 - Nuclear Waste Project
Table 4

Q03. How much to you agree with the following statements? - The government should be able to contract with private companies to dispose of nuclear waste, if those solutions have been proven safe and approved/licensed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Base = Total Respondents
UPPER CASE LETTERS DENOTE SIGNIFICANCE AT 95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL
LOWER CASE LETTERS DENOTE SIGNIFICANCE AT 90% CONFIDENCE LEVEL

	Total	Gender		Age						Income						Region			
		Male	Female	18-24	25-34	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Less than \$25K	\$25K-49.9K	\$50K-74.9K	\$75K+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	North East	Midwest	South	West
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
Base Unweighted	1001	505	496	100	181	281	258	281	181	145	191	175	490	336	665	170	233	373	225
Base Weighted	1000	484	516	110	175	285	250	269	196	150	198	174	478	348	652	178	208	377	237
Top 2 Box (Net)	758	364	394	90	122	212	204	205	137	110	154	134	359	265	493	136	154	299	170
	75.8	75.1	76.4	81.7	69.8	74.4	81.7	76.2	69.8	73.5	78	77.2	75.1	76.1	75.6	76.4	74	79.2	71.6
				EFI	E	Efi												S	
Agree completely	378	181	197	28	65	94	101	113	70	54	96	64	165	149	229	62	73	157	87
	37.8	37.4	38.3	25.9	37.4	32.9	40.6	42.1	35.8	35.8	48.3	36.8	34.5	42.9	35.1	34.8	35	41.6	36.6
				df	d	Df	DF				JLM			O					
Agree somewhat	379	183	197	61	57	118	103	92	67	57	59	70	194	115	264	74	81	142	83
	37.9	37.7	38.1	55.8	32.5	41.5	41.1	34.1	34.1	37.7	29.7	40.4	40.5	33.1	40.5	41.5	39	37.6	34.9
				EFGHI	Eh	e					K	K		N					
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	213	112	101	18	47	65	42	56	50	36	38	33	105	74	138	34	50	70	59
	21.3	23.1	19.6	16	26.8	22.7	16.6	20.9	25.6	23.8	19.4	19.2	22	21.3	21.2	19.2	24	18.5	24.9
				dFG	d			dG											r
Disagree somewhat	156	80	76	14	35	49	31	40	35	19	28	27	82	47	109	24	37	52	42
	15.6	16.5	14.8	12.7	20	17.2	12.5	15	18	12.8	14	15.2	17.2	13.5	16.7	13.7	17.9	13.9	17.7
				G															
Disagree completely	57	32	25	4	12	16	10	16	15	17	11	7	23	27	30	10	13	17	17
	5.7	6.6	4.8	3.3	6.8	5.5	4.1	5.9	7.6	11	5.4	3.9	4.7	7.8	4.5	5.5	6.1	4.5	7.2
										kLM				O					
Refused	30	9	21	3	6	8	4	8	9	4	5	6	14	9	20	8	4	9	8
	3	1.8	4	2.3	3.4	3	1.7	2.9	4.6	2.7	2.6	3.6	3	2.6	3.1	4.4	2.1	2.4	3.6
			b																
Total mentions	1000	484	516	110	175	285	250	269	196	150	198	174	478	348	652	178	208	377	237
	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

[Back to Top](#)

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H/I - J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R/S
 Overlap formulae used.



[Back to Contents](#)

GfK CUSTOM RESEARCH NORTH AMERICA - Omniweb with KP January 5, 2018 - Nuclear Waste Project
Table 5

Q03. How much to you agree with the following statements? - The government should make finding a solution to the nuclear waste problem a top priority
 Base = Total Respondents
 UPPER CASE LETTERS DENOTE SIGNIFICANCE AT 95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL
 LOWER CASE LETTERS DENOTE SIGNIFICANCE AT 90% CONFIDENCE LEVEL

	Total	Gender		Age						Income						Region						
		Male	Female	18-24	25-34	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Less than \$25K	\$25K-49.9K	\$50K-74.9K	\$75K+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	North East	Midwest	South	West			
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S			
Base Unweighted	1001	505	496	100	181	281	258	281	191	145	191	175	490	336	665	170	233	373	225			
Base Weighted	1000	484	516	110	175	285	250	269	196	150	198	174	478	348	652	178	208	377	237			
Top 2 Box (Net)	850	416	433	83	138	220	214	240	175	125	172	149	405	296	554	157	170	325	198			
	85	86	84	75.4	78.5	77.3				85.7	89.3	89.2	83.1	86.6	85.4	84.8	85.1	84.9	88	82	86.2	83.4
							DeF	DEF	DEF													
Agree completely	467	216	251	30	66	96	114	150	107	84	104	85	195	187	280	89	82	188	109			
	46.7	44.6	48.7	27.2	37.6	33.6	45.8	55.8	54.7	55.8	52.4	48.6	40.9	53.8	43	49.8	39.4	49.9	45.8			
							df	DF	DEFG	DEFa	M	M	m	O		a		Q				
Agree somewhat	382	200	182	53	72	125	100	90	68	41	68	64	210	109	274	68	88	137	89			
	38.2	41.4	35.3	48.1	40.9	43.7	39.9	33.6	34.6	27.2	34.3	36.8	43.9	31.2	42	38.2	42.5	36.3	37.5			
		c		HI		HI						i	JK	N								
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	123	60	63	25	32	56	29	22	15	21	23	18	60	45	78	15	33	43	31			
	12.3	12.4	12.2	22.4	18.1	19.8	11.8	8.1	7.6	14.3	11.7	10.6	12.5	12.8	12	8.4	16	11.4	13.2			
				GHI	gHI	GHI											P					
Disagree somewhat	99	43	55	20	25	45	24	17	12	15	19	16	48	34	64	14	26	36	23			
	9.9	8.9	10.8	18.4	14.3	15.9	9.8	6.3	6	9.9	9.7	9.4	10.1	9.8	9.9	7.7	12.5	9.4	9.8			
				GHI	HI	gHI																
Disagree completely	24	17	7	4	7	11	5	5	3	6	4	2	12	10	14	1	7	7	8			
	2.4	3.5	1.4	4	3.8	3.9	2	1.8	1.7	4.3	2	1.2	2.4	3	2.1	0.7	3.5	2	3.5			
		C															p		p			
Refused	28	8	20	3	6	8	6	7	6	4	3	7	13	7	20	6	4	9	8			
	2.8	1.6	3.8	2.3	3.4	3	2.5	3.1	2.7	1.7	4	2.8	2.1	3.1	3.5	2.1	2.4	2.4	3.4			
			B																			
Total mentions	1000	484	516	110	175	285	250	269	196	150	198	174	478	348	652	178	208	377	237			
	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100			

[Back to Top](#)

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H/I - J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R/S
 Overlap formulae used.



[Back to Contents](#)

GfK CUSTOM RESEARCH NORTH AMERICA - Omniweb with KP January 5, 2018 - Nuclear Waste Project
Table 6

Q03. How much to you agree with the following statements? - The government should actively seek lower cost solutions to the disposal of nuclear waste
 Base = Total Respondents
 UPPER CASE LETTERS DENOTE SIGNIFICANCE AT 95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL
 LOWER CASE LETTERS DENOTE SIGNIFICANCE AT 90% CONFIDENCE LEVEL

	Total	Gender		Age						Income						Region			
		Male	Female	18-24	25-34	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Less than \$25K	\$25K-49.9K	\$50K-74.9K	\$75K+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	North East	Midwest	South	West
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
Base Unweighted	1001	505	496	100	181	281	258	281	191	145	191	175	490	336	665	170	233	373	225
Base Weighted	1000	484	516	110	175	285	250	269	196	150	198	174	478	348	652	178	208	377	237
Top 2 Box (Net)	777	378	399	85	134	219	199	202	156	107	157	138	375	264	513	134	158	304	181
	77.7	78.2	77.3	77.2	76.8	77	79.7	75.2	79.6	71.1	79.5	79.5	78.4	75.9	78.7	75.2	75.9	80.6	76.6
Agree completely	375	179	196	25	73	98	97	111	68	57	82	64	171	139	235	60	71	159	85
	37.5	36.9	38	23.2	41.5	34.4	38.7	41.4	34.8	38.1	41.4	36.7	35.9	40	36.1	33.8	34	42.1	35.8
				DF	D	D	D	D	d									pg	
Agree somewhat	402	200	203	59	62	121	102	91	88	49	75	74	203	125	278	74	87	145	96
	40.2	41.2	39.3	54.1	35.3	42.5	41	33.8	44.8	33	38	42.8	42.5	35.9	42.6	41.4	41.9	38.5	40.7
				EFGH		EH			eH				i		n				
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	194	97	97	23	35	57	46	60	31	39	36	29	89	75	119	36	47	64	48
	19.4	20.1	18.8	20.5	19.9	20.1	18.4	22.3	15.8	26.2	18.2	16.8	18.7	21.6	18.2	20	22.4	17	20
										lm									
Disagree somewhat	129	59	70	17	22	39	28	40	23	16	27	21	65	43	86	22	30	45	33
	12.9	12.3	13.5	15.7	12.4	13.7	11.1	14.7	11.6	10.8	13.5	12.3	13.5	12.3	13.2	12.1	14.4	11.9	13.8
Disagree completely	65	38	27	5	13	18	18	20	8	23	9	8	25	32	32	14	17	19	15
	6.5	7.8	5.2	4.8	7.4	6.4	7.3	7.5	4.2	15.4	4.7	4.5	5.1	9.3	5	7.8	8	5.2	6.2
										KLM				O					
Refused	29	9	20	3	6	8	5	7	9	4	5	6	14	9	20	9	4	9	8
	2.9	1.8	4	2.3	3.4	3	2	2.5	4.6	2.7	2.4	3.6	3	2.5	3.1	4.8	1.7	2.4	3.4
			b																
Total mentions	1000	484	516	110	175	285	250	269	196	150	198	174	478	348	652	178	208	377	237
Back to Top	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H/I - J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R/S
 Overlap formulae used.



[Back to Contents](#)

GfK CUSTOM RESEARCH NORTH AMERICA - Omniweb with KP January 5, 2018 - Nuclear Waste Project
Table 7

Q03. How much to you agree with the following statements? - Innovation could help develop alternative solutions to the nuclear waste problem
 Base = Total Respondents
 UPPER CASE LETTERS DENOTE SIGNIFICANCE AT 95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL
 LOWER CASE LETTERS DENOTE SIGNIFICANCE AT 90% CONFIDENCE LEVEL

	Total	Gender		Age						Income						Region			
		Male	Female	18-24	25-34	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Less than \$25K	\$25K-49.9K	\$50K-74.9K	\$75K+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	North East	Midwest	South	West
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
Base Unweighted	1001	505	496	100	181	281	258	281	191	145	191	175	490	336	665	170	233	373	225
Base Weighted	1000	484	516	110	175	285	250	269	196	150	198	174	478	348	652	178	208	377	237
Top 2 Box (Net)	897	439	458	99	145	245	225	247	181	127	179	159	433	306	592	158	184	340	215
	89.7	90.7	88.9	90.5	82.9	85.8	90.1	91.8	92.2	84.6	90.4	91.4	90.5	87.9	90.7	89	88.5	90.2	90.7
					e	E	EF	Ef				i	I						
Agree completely	473	224	248	41	85	126	128	138	81	71	99	83	220	170	303	75	97	191	109
	47.3	46.4	48.1	37.3	48.3	44.1	51.3	51.4	41.1	47.3	49.9	47.9	45.9	48.8	46.4	42.3	46.7	50.6	46.2
					df	Di	DI											p	
Agree somewhat	425	214	210	58	61	119	97	108	100	56	80	76	213	136	289	83	87	149	105
	42.5	44.3	40.7	53.2	34.6	41.8	38.8	40.4	51	37.3	40.5	43.5	44.6	39.1	44.3	46.7	41.7	39.6	44.5
				EFGH	E			EFGH											
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	74	37	37	8	23	31	21	15	7	20	15	8	31	35	39	12	20	29	14
	7.4	7.7	7.2	7.2	13.2	10.9	8.3	5.7	3.7	13.3	7.6	4.6	6.6	10.1	6	6.6	9.5	7.7	5.9
				HI	HI	i				LM				O					
Disagree somewhat	54	22	31	6	17	23	15	11	4	11	11	8	23	22	31	7	14	23	10
	5.4	4.6	6	5.4	10	8.2	6.2	4.2	1.8	7.5	5.6	4.6	4.9	6.4	4.8	3.8	6.8	6.1	4.2
				HI	hi	I													
Disagree completely	21	15	6	2	6	8	5	4	4	9	4	0	8	13	8	5	6	6	4
	2.1	3.1	1.1	1.9	3.3	2.7	2.1	1.5	1.9	5.7	2	0	1.7	3.6	1.2	2.8	2.7	1.6	1.7
		C								LM	I		O						
Refused	28	8	20	3	7	9	4	7	8	3	4	7	14	7	21	8	4	8	8
	2.8	1.6	4	2.3	3.8	3.2	1.7	2.5	4.1	2.2	1.9	4	3	2	3.2	4.4	2.1	2.1	3.4
			B																
Total mentions	1000	484	516	110	175	285	250	269	196	150	198	174	478	348	652	178	208	377	237
	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

[Back to Top](#)

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H/I - J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R/S
 Overlap formulae used.



[Back to Contents](#)

GfK CUSTOM RESEARCH NORTH AMERICA - Omnibweb with KP January 5, 2018 - Nuclear Waste Project
Table 8

Q03. How much to you agree with the following statements? - Summary of Top 2 Box
Base = Total Respondents
UPPER CASE LETTERS DENOTE SIGNIFICANCE AT 95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL
LOWER CASE LETTERS DENOTE SIGNIFICANCE AT 90% CONFIDENCE LEVEL

	Total	Gender		Age						Income					Region				
		Male	Female	18-24	25-34	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Less than \$25K	\$25K-49.9K	\$50K-74.9K	\$75K+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	North East	Midwest	South	West
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
Base Unweighted	1001	505	496	100	181	281	258	281	181	145	191	175	490	336	665	170	233	373	225
Base Weighted	1000	484	516	110	175	285	250	269	196	150	198	174	478	348	652	178	208	377	237
The government should review and consider innovative alternative approaches to disposing of nuclear waste	903	438	465	96	146	242	229	250	182	126	180	160	436	306	596	165	184	340	214
	90.3	90.5	90.1	87	83.5	84.8	91.7	93	92.8	84.3	90.9	92.1	91.2	88.1	91.5	92.5	88.6	90.2	90.2
							EF	dEF	EF		i	J	J						
Innovation could help develop alternative solutions to the nuclear waste problem	897	439	458	99	145	245	225	247	181	127	179	159	433	306	592	158	184	340	215
	89.7	90.7	88.9	90.5	82.9	85.8	90.1	91.8	92.2	84.6	90.4	91.4	90.5	87.9	90.7	89	88.5	90.2	90.7
						e	E	EF	Ef			i	i						
The government should make finding a solution to the nuclear waste problem a top priority	850	416	433	83	138	220	214	240	175	125	172	149	405	296	554	157	170	325	198
	85	86	84	75.4	78.5	77.3	85.7	89.3	89.2	83.1	86.6	85.4	84.8	85.1	84.9	88	82	86.2	83.4
							DeF	DEF	DEF										
The government should actively seek lower cost solutions to the disposal of nuclear waste	777	378	399	85	134	219	199	202	156	107	157	138	375	264	513	134	158	304	181
	77.7	78.2	77.3	77.2	76.8	77	79.7	75.2	79.6	71.1	79.5	79.5	78.4	75.9	78.7	75.2	75.9	80.6	76.6
The government should be able to contract with private companies to dispose of nuclear waste, if those solutions have been proven safe and approved/licensed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission	758	364	394	90	122	212	204	205	137	110	154	134	359	265	493	136	154	299	170
	75.8	75.1	76.4	81.7	69.8	74.4	81.7	76.2	69.8	73.5	78	77.2	75.1	76.1	75.6	76.4	74	79.2	71.6
Back to Top				EFI		E	Efi											S	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H/I - J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R/S
 Overlap formulae used.



[Back to Contents](#)

GfK CUSTOM RESEARCH NORTH AMERICA - Omniresearch with KP January 5, 2018 - Nuclear Waste Project
Table 9

Q03. How much do you agree with the following statements? - Summary of Bottom 2 Box

Base = Total Respondents

UPPER CASE LETTERS DENOTE SIGNIFICANCE AT 95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL

LOWER CASE LETTERS DENOTE SIGNIFICANCE AT 90% CONFIDENCE LEVEL

	Total	Gender		Age						Income						Region			
		Male	Female	18-24	25-34	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Less than \$25K	\$25K-49.9K	\$50K-74.9K	\$75K+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	North East	Midwest	South	West
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
Base Unweighted	1001	505	496	100	181	281	258	281	181	145	191	175	490	336	665	170	233	373	225
Base Weighted	1000	484	516	110	175	285	250	269	196	150	198	174	478	348	652	178	208	377	237
The government should be able to contract with private companies to dispose of nuclear waste, if those solutions have been proven safe and approved/licensed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission	213	112	101	18	47	65	42	56	50	36	38	33	105	74	138	34	50	70	59
	21.3	23.1	19.6	16	26.8	22.7	16.6	20.9	25.6	23.8	19.4	19.2	22	21.3	21.2	19.2	24	18.5	24.9
					dFG	d			dG										r
The government should actively seek lower cost solutions to the disposal of nuclear waste	194	97	97	23	35	57	46	60	31	39	36	29	89	75	119	36	47	64	48
	19.4	20.1	18.8	20.5	19.9	20.1	18.4	22.3	15.8	26.2	18.2	16.8	18.7	21.6	18.2	20	22.4	17	20
										lm									
The government should make finding a solution to the nuclear waste problem a top priority	123	60	63	25	32	56	29	22	15	21	23	18	60	45	78	15	33	43	31
	12.3	12.4	12.2	22.4	18.1	19.8	11.8	8.1	7.6	14.3	11.7	10.6	12.5	12.8	12	8.4	16	11.4	13.2
				GHI	qHI	GHI													P
Innovation could help develop alternative solutions to the nuclear waste problem	74	37	37	8	23	31	21	15	7	20	15	8	31	35	39	12	20	29	14
	7.4	7.7	7.2	7.2	13.2	10.9	8.3	5.7	3.7	13.3	7.6	4.6	6.6	10.1	6	6.6	9.5	7.7	5.9
				HI	HI	i				LM				O					
The government should review and consider innovative alternative approaches to disposing of nuclear waste	70	38	32	12	23	35	17	12	7	19	15	7	29	34	36	5	20	29	16
	7	7.9	6.2	10.7	13.2	12.2	6.6	4.5	3.3	13	7.6	4.1	6	9.9	5.5	3.1	9.5	7.7	6.7
Back to Top				HI	GHI	GHI				LM				O			P	p	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H/I - J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R/S
Overlap formulae used.